



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,420	09/687,420 10/13/2000		Peter Joseph Rock	13DV13812	8491
29399	7590	05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. I	BEULICK		CHEN, CHONGSHAN		
-,		TEASDALE LLP AN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 260 ST. LOUIS	0			2172 DATE MAIL ED: 05/06/2004	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

À

	·			
	T T	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/687,420	ROCK ET AL.	1/~
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Chongshan Chen	2172	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
earn Status	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
_	Decrees to communication (a) filed on 20 A	Annah 2004		
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{29 N}$ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits	is
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under B			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)፟⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b>	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/orion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	er.  cepted or b) objected to by the		
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s)	_		
2) 🔲 Notio 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2172

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Request for Continued Examination, filed on 29 March 2004. This action is non-final. Claims 1-18 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haq et al. ("Haq", 6,275,812) in view of Tuttle (6,591,246).

As per claim 1, Haq disclosing a method for determining candidates to interview, said method comprising the steps of:

providing pre-determined desired qualities for a candidate (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36, "ISDRM allows specific job functions (roles and responsibilities) in a specialty to be identified by a skills template. A skill template basically identifies the relevant importance of each skill (Weights) and the skill level (Index) required in each skill to perform a job function. ... A

Application/Control Number: 09/687,420

Art Unit: 2172

template basically identifies what skill levels are required and what is their importance for a job function");

determining if the candidate possesses at least one of a plurality of independent characteristics (Haq, Fig. 5, col. 6, lines 1-67);

generating a database including at least one characteristic for each candidate wherein the at least one characteristic is correlative to the desired qualities (Haq, Fig. 2, ISDRM Database);

normalizing the characteristics, normalizing includes comparing a total number of characteristics, possessed by the candidate, of a combination of characteristics that determine each desired quality, to a total number of possibly possessed characteristics for the desired quality, and assigning a value to each desired quality based on the comparison (Haq, Fig. 5-10, col. 6, lines 5-50, "Suitability Skill Index Average (SSIA) gives a measure of the suitability of an employee for an assignment. To assess the suitability of an employee, for a particular job assignment, his/her skills, from the skills assessment forms in the employee database are assessed against the given skills template for a job function. A suitability assessment form is generated for the employee in which the skill weights are duplicated from the skills template and the skill indices, for the employee, are copied from the Employee Database");

displaying results for each candidate based on the desired quality values (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43, "outputs of various of request/queries to the database").

Haq discloses select suitable employees (Haq, col. 5, lines 52-53), but does not disclose select to interview. However, it is well known that the hiring procedure includes selecting suitable candidates for interview. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select candidate to interview in the system of Haq

Art Unit: 2172

in order to decide which candidate is the most suitable candidate through the interview process if there are several candidates with same the high suitability weight value.

Haq does not explicitly disclose the desired qualities include at least two of analytical ability, self-confidence, initiative, change orientation, and interpersonal skills. Tuttle teaches desired qualities include at least two of analytical ability, self-confidence, initiative, change orientation, and interpersonal skills (Tuttle, col. 2, lines 60-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the desired qualities in the system of Haq in order to provide detailed information about a candidate. These detailed information will help a manager to better assess the candidate's suitability for the project.

As per claim 2, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further disclose storing the predetermined desired qualities for a candidate within the database, the desired qualities include analytical ability, self-confidence, initiative, change orientation, and interpersonal skills (Tuttle, col. 2, lines 60-67).

As per claim 3, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further disclose obtaining pre-determined desired qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36); and normalizing characteristics of each candidate with the pre-determined desired qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 6, lines 5-50).

As per claim 4, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further disclose summing the normalized characteristics of each candidate; and dividing the sum total of the normalized characteristics by a pre-determined value representing a total amount possible (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 5, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further disclose displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in at least one of a tabular output format and a graphical output format.

Claims 6-8 rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-3.

As per claim 9, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 6, and further disclose

rank each candidate based on normalized characteristics (Haq, Fig. 11); and sum the normalized characteristics of each candidate (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 10, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 9, and further disclose divide the sum total of all normalized characteristics by an amount representing a pre-determined possible total (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 11, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 6, and further disclose displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in at least one of a tabular output format and a graphical output format.

Claims 12-13 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-2.

As per claim 14, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 12, and further disclose normalizing the characteristics, said processor further programmed with pre-determined desired qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,420

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 15, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 12, and further disclose normalize the characteristics, said processor further programmed to normalize candidate characteristics with known qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36).

As per claim 16, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 12, and further disclose summing the normalized characteristics of each candidate; and dividing the sum total of the normalized characteristics by an amount representing a predetermined possible total (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 17, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 16, and further disclose displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in a tabular output format.

As per claim 18, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 16, and further disclose displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in a graphical output format.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chongshan Chen whose telephone number is 703-305-8319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703)305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,420

Art Unit: 2172

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 29, 2004

SHAHID ALLAM PRIMARY EXAMINER